

GROUPING STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA IN A DECISION MAKING SYSTEM USING THE WEIGHT PRODUCT METHOD

T. Irfan Fajri

Informatika, Islam Kebangsaan Indonesia University

email : *teukuirfanfajri.sister@gmail.com

Abstract: Information, modeling, and data manipulation systems are called decision support systems (DSS). When there is uncertainty about the best course of action in semi-structured or unstructured situations, the system is utilized to support decision-making. There are various approaches available for producing decision support systems, one of which is the Weighted Product (WP) Method. With the Weighted Product (WP) approach, attribute ratings are connected by multiplication; however, each attribute's rating must first be increased to the power of the attribute's weight. The normalizing process is same to this one. SPK procedure to choose the winners of the scholarships. Scholarship information from MTS Swasta Alwasliyah Simpang Merbau can be saved in the Decision Support System using this method. This way, in the event that an error arises when entering grades or scholarship information, the wrong information can be fixed without requiring the scholarship information to be re-input. Scholarships are presents to individuals in the form of financial aid intended to be utilized toward their ongoing educational pursuits.

Keywords : decision support system; students; weighted product method

Abstract: Sistem informasi, pemodelan, dan manipulasi data disebut sistem pendukung keputusan (DSS). Ketika terdapat ketidakpastian mengenai tindakan terbaik dalam situasi semi-terstruktur atau tidak terstruktur, sistem digunakan untuk mendukung pengambilan keputusan. Terdapat berbagai pendekatan yang tersedia untuk menghasilkan sistem pendukung keputusan, salah satunya adalah Metode Weighted Product (WP). Dengan pendekatan Weighted Product (WP) memiliki konsep yang sederhana untuk menentukan pembobotan terhadap kriteria yang memiliki nilai hampir sama sehingga dalam penentuan penerima beasiswa dapat mudah dilakukan walaupun dengan data yang banyak. Metode *Weighted Product (WP)* dengan kriteria penilaian akademik, sikap dan tanggung jawab dan hasil perhitungan tertinggi menggunakan sistem yaitu 0.27. Sehingga dapat diterapkan untuk menyeleksi siswa-siswi berprestasi dan untuk menerapkan pemilihan siswa-siswi berprestasi secara online dengan disebarakan kedalam kelas.

Keywords: metode weighted product; sistem pendukung keputusan; siswa

INTRODUCTION

Student achievement is measured on a scale that is too narrow, limited to students' cognitive (intellectual) skills [1]. The word pupil comes from Arabic, which means the person who wants (the willer). The student defined as a person who desires to gain knowledge, skills, experience and good personality as provisions for his life happiness in this world and the hereafter by studying seriously [2]. A student is considered extraordinary if they demonstrate the best learning outcomes. However, there is no guarantee that extraordinary children will become role models in their schools.

Additionally it may be found that children who do well usually emphasize academic success. The same thing happened at Al-Wasliyah Private MTS Simpang Merbau is that determination recipient scholarship only based on mark academic, so sometimes receiving students scholarship No be an example to other students[3]. This will be used to assess student achievement, namely academic achievement which includes mathematics, Indonesian, English, ICT and not only eye Academic lessons include religious subjects, cleanliness and politeness indicator evaluation For determine the recipient scholarship [4]. Scholarships are presents to individuals in the form of financial aid intended to be utilized toward their ongoing educational pursuits.

Information, modeling, and data manipulation systems are called decision support systems. When there is uncertainty about the best course of action in semi-structured or unstructured situations, the system is utilized to support decision-making. There are various approaches available for producing decision support systems, one

of which is the Weighted Product (WP) Method. With the Weighted Product (WP) approach, attribute ratings are connected by multiplication; however, each attribute's rating must first be increased to the power of the attribute's weight[5]. Each criterion has a different weight or value.

Study This choose Weight Product method because as described previously that Weight Product method own simple concept For determine weighting to criteria that have valuealmost The same so that in recipient determination scholarship can easy done although with lots of data[6]. Goddess that method Product Weight used For resolve cases where the data consists on Lots attribute interests [7]. This matter in accordance with the article entitled "System Evaluation Employee Using the Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making (FMADM) and Weighted Product (WP)[8]. This research uses 4 criteria as assessment parameters, in order to make the right decisions in this research, the Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making (FMADM) and Weighted Product (WP) methods are used by finding the weighted sum of the performance ratings for each alternative on all attributes[9]. The assessment of the best employees is carried out using four criteria, namely, attendance, work speed, responsibility and cooperation.

Study This choose Weight Product method because as described in the study related that method Product Weight own simple concept For determine weighting to criteria that have mark hamper The same[10].

METHOD

Decision Support System

When choosing amongst several possible courses of action to address an issue, a decision support system is a collection of linked components that work together to create a cohesive whole that facilitates effective and efficient problem-solving. That the Decision Support System's goals are [11], Assist managers in making decisions on semistructured problems. Provides support for the manager's judgment and is not intended to replace the manager's function. Increased productivity and Competitive.

A mechanism in place that functions to take action or make decisions where the criteria that are processed into the method belong to the object. The Multi Attribute Decision Making (MADM) idea includes the Weighted Product Method, which calls for normalization of the computations since agencies Sufficiently choose a number of things to be used as alternatives, assigning a weighted average to comparing alternatives and criterion [12].

Interactive system information that offers data manipulation, modeling, and information is called system decision support. The purpose of SPK is to facilitate decision-making at every level, starting with problem identification, data selection, strategy selection, and decision-making about the evacuation of electoral alternatives. A system that is used to assist in making decisions in organized and semi-structured circumstances when there is uncertainty How a decision ought to be made [13].

Required steps in the retrieval process decision is [14]:

Intelligence

Forming a perception of the situation at hand is recognizing the

decision situation and defining the main characteristics that exist in that situation. Building a model that represents the situation A model is a vehicle that assists in estimating the likely outcomes of a decision situation. Determination of quantitative measures of costs (disbenefits) and benefits that are most appropriate for the situation faced. Uniform measure system that will be used in comparing alternative steps to the delegation

Design

Determining specific alternatives by identifying and clearly formulating possible steps [15].

Choice

Evaluate the benefits and costs (disbenefits) of all alternative steps. Is an assessment of the consequences of implementing each alternative step using cost and benefit measures. Setting criteria for choosing the best steps is establishing rules by linking results to the goals of decision making. Resolution of the situation The decision is to take a step based on acceptable criteria. The steps above can be done repeatedly, either in whole or in part. This is carried out continuously until the decision situation is correct resolved [16].

The Weighted Product method uses multiplication to connect attribute ratings, where the rating of each attribute must first be raised to the power of the weight of the attribute in question. This process is the same as the normalization process [17].

Weighted Product method is calculated based on the Preference Value level.

The process of normalizing the criteria weight (W), $\sum W = 1$ is:

$$W_j = W_j / \sum W_j \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$V_i = \frac{S_1}{S_1 + S_2 + S_3} \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

Information :

W_j: Attribute weight
 ΣW_j: Sum of attribute weights
 Calculating Vector S

Or

$$S = S_1^{W_j} * S_2^{W_j} * S_i \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Information :

S : states the alternative analogous to vector S
 x : states the criterion value
 w : states the weight of the criteria
 i : states an alternative
 j : states the criteria
 n : states the number of criteria

W_j is a power with a positive value for the profit attribute, and a negative value for the cost attribute [18].
 Calculating Vector V:

$$V_i = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n X_{ijw_j}}{\prod_{k=1}^n (X_{jn})} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Or

Information :

V : states an alternative which is analogous to a vector V
 X : states the criterion value
 W : states the weight of the criteria
 i : states an alternative
 j : states the criteria
 n : states the number of criteria

The suitability of each alternative for each criterion is assessed by 1 to 5, namely [19]:
 1 = Very bad
 2 = bad
 3 = sufficient
 4 = good
 5 = very good

The importance rating for each criterion is assessed from 1 to 5, namely:
 1 = Very low
 2 = low
 3 = sufficient
 4 = high
 5 = very high

Table 1. Instrument Table

No	Code	Criteria	Scale	Mark	Weight
1	C1	Academic Value	100-91	5	50
			90-81	4	
			80-71	3	
			70-61	2	
			60-51	1	
2	C2	Attitude	Very dilligent	5	40
			Diligent	4	
			Not Diligent	3	
			Seldom	2	
			Not at all	1	
3	C3	Responsibility	Very active	5	30
			Active	4	
			Currently	3	
			Less active	2	
			Not active	1	

Research Instruments

This research uses secondary data from 30 outstanding students at school and criteria data is used as instrumentation to obtain data in the process of selecting outstanding students.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Weighted Product approach connects attribute ratings by multiplication; however, each attribute's rating must first be increased to the power of the attribute's weight. The normalizing process is same to this one. In favor of AI substitutes (Lestari, 2013): Product Weighed (WP) One technique for completing Multi Attribute Decision Making (MADM) is the weighted product method. In order to connect attribute ratings using the Weighted Product technique, each attribute's rating must first be raised to the power of the relevant weight attribute. There are 3 prospective students who will be alternatives, namely:
 A1 = Bella Syahfitri
 A2 = Naila Firda Sari
 A3 = Pandu Mulia

Tables of criteria with their respective weights can be seen in the following table:

Tabel 2. Academic score table

Academic Value	Mark	Weight
100-91	50	50
90-81	40	
80-71	30	
70-61	20	
60-51	11	

Tabel 3. Attitude value table

Attitude Value	Mark	Weight
Very dilligent	50	40
Diligent	40	
Not Diligent	30	
Seldom	20	
Not at all	10	

Tabel 4. Of Responsibility Values

Attitude Value	Mark	Weight
Very dilligent	50	40
Diligent	40	
Not Diligent	30	
Seldom	20	
Not at all	10	

The following is a table of matches for each alternative on each criterion, namely the suitability rating of each alternative on each criterion.

Table 5. Matching Table

Alternative (student)	Criteria		
	C1	C2	C3
Bella Syahfitri	5	4	3
Naila Firda Sari	4	5	2
Pandu Mulia	1	4	5

With preference weight values as follows: W = 50, 40, 30

$$W1 = \frac{50}{50 + 40 + 30} = \frac{50}{120} = 0,41$$

$$W2 = \frac{40}{50 + 40 + 30} = \frac{40}{120} = 0,33$$

$$W3 = \frac{30}{50 + 40 + 30} = \frac{30}{120} = 0,25$$

$$S1 = (5^{0,41})(4^{0,33})(3^{0,25}) = 4,12$$

$$S2 = (4^{0,41})(5^{0,33})(2^{0,25}) = 3,79$$

$$S3 = (1^{0,41})(4^{0,33})(5^{0,25}) = 2,98$$

$$S4 = (3^{0,41})(5^{0,33})(4^{0,25}) = 3,88$$

After each S vector gets its value, the next step is to add up the S vectors to calculate the V vector which will be used for ranking. Simply put:

$$Vi = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n X_{ij}w_j}{\prod_{k=1}^n (X_{jn})}$$

$$V1 = \frac{S1}{S1 + S2 + S3 + S4}$$

$$V1 = \frac{4,12}{14,77} = 0,27$$

So the final value obtained by each alternative in the ranking is as follows:

Table 6. Ranking (Output)

Alternative (Vector)	Mark	Rank
Alternative 1 (V1)	0.27	1
Alternative 2 (V2)	0.25	2
Alternative 3 (V3)	0.20	3

Then from the calculation results it was concluded that the order of outstanding students was first Bella Syahfitri with a final score of 0.27, second Naila Firda Sari with a final score of 0.25 and third Pandu Mulia with a final score of 0.20.

CONCLUSION

Conclusion After analysis and testing, a conclusion can be obtained regarding the Decision Support System for scholarship recipients at the Alwashliyah Simpang Marbau Private MTS, in this study using the Weighted Product (WP) Method with academic assessment criteria, attitudes and

responsibilities and the highest calculation results using the system, namely 0.27. So it can be applied to select outstanding students and to implement online selection of outstanding students by distributing them to the class.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] “SISTEM PENDUKUNG KEPUTUSAN MENENTUKAN E-COMMERCE TERBAIK MENGGUNAKAN METODE WEIGHTED PRODUCT”.
- [2] M. R. N. Septian and A. S. Purnomo, “Sistem Penilaian Pegawai Menggunakan Metode Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making (FMADM) dan Weighted Product (WP),” *JMAI (Jurnal Multimed. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 27–33, 2017, doi: 10.26486/jmai.v1i1.49.
- [3] H. R. Hatta, M. Rizaldi, and D. M. Khairina, “Penerapan Metode Weighted Product Untuk Pemilihan Lokasi Lahan Baru Pemakaman Muslim Dengan Visualisasi Google Maps,” *J. Nas. Teknol. dan Sist. Inf.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 85–94, 2016, doi: 10.25077/teknosi.v2i3.2016.85-94.
- [4] N. Aisyah and A. S. Putra, “Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Rekomendasi Pemilihan Manajer Terbaik Menggunakan Metode AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process),” *J. Esensi Infokom J. Esensi Sist. Inf. dan Sist. Komput.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 7–13, 2022, doi: 10.55886/infokom.v5i2.275.
- [5] M. A. Wardana, “Implementasi Metode Fuzzy Multi Attribute Decision Making Pada Sistem

- Seleksi Penerimaan Calon Karyawan Baru Pt . Angkasa Global,” vol. 2, pp. 67–73, 2019.
- [6] R. Dimaski and Jati Sasongko Wibowo, “Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Mobil Menggunakan Metode WASPAS,” *Pixel J. Ilm. Komput. Graf.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 355–361, 2022, doi: 10.51903/pixel.v15i2.855.
- [7] A. P. Kusuma, “Analysis Implementation Analytical Hierarchy Process Method and Weighted Product for Ranking Internet Package Selection System,” *Procedia Eng. Life Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.21070/pels.v2i0.1173.
- [8] Supriyadi, G. Ginting, and E. Bu’ulolo, “Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Macking (FMADM) Berdasarkan Metode Oreste Untuk Menentukan Lokasi Promosi (Studi Kasus : STMIK Budi Darma Medan),” *J. Pelita Inform.*, vol. 8, pp. 292–297, 2019.
- [9] K. Karlina and M. Muslihudin, “Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penilaian Kinerja Staf Kantor Bupati Pringsewu Menggunakan Fuzzy Multiple Attiribute Decision Making,” *J. Teknoinfo*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 76, 2018, doi: 10.33365/jti.v12i2.117.
- [10] F. P. Sihotang, “Implementasi Metode Weighted Product (WP) pada Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemberian Bonus Karyawan,” *JATISI (Jurnal Tek. Inform. dan Sist. Informasi)*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 2158–2170, 2021, doi: 10.35957/jatisi.v8i4.1179.
- [11] H. Mustafidah and R. P. Mayasari, “Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Menggunakan Metode TOPSIS untuk Pemilihan Lembaga Bimbingan Belajar,” *Sainteks*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 39–53, 2019, [Online]. Available: <http://jurnalnasional.ump.ac.id/index.php/SAINTEKS/article/view/6172>
- [12] T. Elizabeth, “Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemberian Potongan Harga Pada Toko Bangunan Dunia Baru Menggunakan Metode SMARTER,” *JATISI (Jurnal Tek. Inform. dan Sist. Informasi)*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1608–1620, 2022, doi: 10.35957/jatisi.v9i2.2521.
- [13] I. P. O. Priyana, D. Gede, H. Divayana, and G. Indrawan, “Pemanfaatan Metode Weighted Product Dalam Penentuan Peluang Jenis Pelanggaran Terdominan Pramuwisata Di Provinsi Bali (Studi Kasus : Dinas Satuan Polisi Pamong Praja Provinsi Bali),” no. 1, 2020.
- [14] M. H. Adiansyah, M. Ahsan, and A. Endy Budianto, “Implementasi Metode Weighted Product Sebagai Sistem Rekomendasi Wisata Dan Kuliner Favorit Di Malang,” *RAINSTEK J. Terap. Sains Teknol.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 147–153, 2020, doi: 10.21067/jtst.v2i1.4243.
- [15] R. S. Muhammad Yasir Permadi, Eva Faja Ripanti, “Model Pemilihan Rumah Tinggal Dengan Metode Weighted Product (WP),” *J. Ris. Sains dan Teknol. Inform.*, vol. 01, no. 01, pp. 167–174, 2023, doi: 10.26418/juristi.v1il.61815.
- [16] R. Supardi and A. Sudarsono, “Penerapan Metode Weighted Product (WP) Dalam Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Karyawan Terbaik Pada PT. Agrotehasen Bengkulu,” *J. Media Infotama*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 141–147, 2023, doi:

- 10.37676/jmi.v19i1.3505.
- [17] A. Fitriyani, R. Komarudin, Y. I. Maulana, and A. Haidir, "Penerapan Metode Weighted Product (WP) Pada Pemilihan Supplier Kimia Terbaik PT. Mayer Indah Indonesia Bogor," *Bianglala Inform.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 36–43, 2020, doi: 10.31294/bi.v8i1.8106.
- [18] S. Anisa and N. Ransi, "Implementasi Metode TOPSIS Dalam SPK Pemilihan Menu Makanan Pada Penderita Obesitas," *J. I CCS*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 1–5, 2023.